

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/526,496

Applicant(s)

KOIZUMI, KAZUO

Examiner

Patrick F. Brinson

Art Unit

3754

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Patrick F. Brinson.

(3) _____

(2) Jeffery J. Howell.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 20-22.Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Howell and I spoke regarding making minor change to claim 20 to clarify that the bellows include a ridge and valley. Claims 21 and 22 are amended to correlate with the language of claim 20. It was agreed that the amendments would be made by Examiner's amendment. (See attached).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Patrick F. Brinson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3754